

REMARKS

In the Office Action, claims 1-10, 12-15 and 18-29 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Craig in view of Herzog.


Applicant would like to thank Examiner McDonald and Supervisory Primary Examiner Hail for the consideration given applicant's attorney at the interview of March 29, 2007. At the interview, attorney presented newly proposed claims, and the claims were discussed in view of the prior art of record. The proposed amendment of claim 30 appeared to the Examiners to distinguish over the prior art of record, subject to further search and consideration. Claim 36 (now claim 34) has been similarly amended.

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the claims in the present application, as they now stand, patentably distinguish over the references cited and applied by the Examiner and are, therefore, in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is in order, and such favorable action and reconsideration are respectfully requested.

However, if after reviewing the above amendments and remarks, the Examiner has any questions or comments, he is cordially invited to contact the undersigned attorneys.

Respectfully submitted,

JACOBSON HOLMAN, PLLC

By: 
Jonathan L. Scherer
Reg. No. 29,851

400 Seventh Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20004-2201
(202) 638-6666

Date: March 30, 2007
JLS/crj